

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,250	09	9/26/2000	Mitchell S. Cohen	YOR920000440USI (590.024)	5891
35195	7590	04/05/2004		EXAM	INER
FERENCE 400 BROAD		CIATES		ROJAS, C	DMAR R
PITTSBURG		5143		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2874	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		~~i)	1
		Application No.	Applican	t(s)
	_	09/670,250	COHEN E	ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Omar Rojas	2874	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sho	eet with the correspond	ence address
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, .ply within the statutory minimun d will apply and will expire SIX (i .te, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be consion in MONTHS from the mailing date one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	te of this communication. § 133).
atus	eu patent term augustment. See 37 Gt K 1.704(b).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	Sentember 2003		
•=		is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow		matters, prosecution a	as to the merits is
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under	•	· •	
posit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-30</u> is/are pending in the	• •	_	
5 \⊠	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideratio	11.	
· —	Claim(s) <u>23-25</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-22 and 26-30</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requiremer	nt.	
plicat	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		ed to by the Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	-	.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	*	-	• •
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or	form PTO-152.
ority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	-		vational Stage
* (application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
·	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	s. or the certified copie	S HULTEUSIVEU.	
achmen	t(s)			
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Tinter	view Summary (PTO-413)	
Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	-4i (DTO 450)
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Noti 6) ☐ Othe	ce of Informal Patent Applica	ation (P1O-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/670,250

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. With regards to the amendment filed on September 25, 2003, all the requested changes to the claims have been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 10-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 10-22, and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for providing at least one bent element including a bending radius of less than about 2.5 mm, does not reasonably provide enablement for at least one bent element having a fully intact buffer layer and including a bending radius of less than about 2.5 mm (emphasis added). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. This is because the specification indicates that the short bend radii are accomplished by the baking step. See page 8, lines 3-6 of the specification. The specification also indicates that "[t]he buffer layer is burned off," due to the baking step. Id. at page 8, line 7. Thus, the buffer layer does not remain "fully intact" when a bending radius of less

Application/Control Number: 09/670,250

Art Unit: 2874

than about 2.5 mm is achieved. Therefore, the specification is not enabling for claims 1-8, 10-22, and 26-30, which recite, or incorporate by dependency, a fully intact buffer layer as well as bending radius of less than about 2.5 mm.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 23-25 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The method recited by claims 23-25, in particular, etching the waveguide from a glass sheet and providing the waveguide with a bend radius of less than about 2.5 mm, does not appear obvious or suggested in view of the prior art.

Conclusion

- 7. US Patent No. 6,625,371 appears to disclose the invention of claim 23 but does not have an effective prior art date so as to constitute prior art with regards to the instant application.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Rojas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2357 and whose e-mail address is *omar.rojas@uspto.gov*. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00AM-3:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hemang Sanghavi, can be reached on (571) 272-2358. The central facsimile number for regular and After Final communications is (703) 872-9306. The examiner's RightFAX number is (571) 273-2357.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Omar Rojas Patent Examiner Art Unit 2874

or

March 30, 2004

HEMANG SANGHAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER